

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,593	09/23/2003	Roy Stanley Hamilton	9-11909-4us-1	9724
20988	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER	
OGILVY R	ENAULT LLP	•	CHIU, RAI	LEIGH W
1981 MCGIL	L COLLEGE AVENUE			D. 000 MD (DED
SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3			3711	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ϵ		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/667,593	HAMILTON, ROY STANLEY		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Raleigh Chiu	3711		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Oc	ctober 2005.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9,18,19 and 21</u> is/a Claim(s) <u>14-17 and 20</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	re withdrawn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
_	The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - - - - -		
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9/23/03, 4/20/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/667,593

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of species "c" (Figures 7-10) in the reply filed on 05 August 2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 18, 19 and 21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 05 August 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §§ 102 and 103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior

Application/Control Number: 10/667,593 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 5,762,403 (Robinson).

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Figure 2 shows the recited aluminum elongated body 10 with a closed hollow cross-sectional configuration 13 and an open hollow cross-sectional configuration 12 capable of receiving an elongate element 17.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson as applied above.

Regarding claim 12, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Robinson body from plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 14-17 and 20 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,593 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim, can be reached on (571) 272-4463.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raleigh W. Chiu Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3700

RWC:dei:feif
9 November 2005